Evaluating Trade-offs: Thinking Outside the Black Box

> Steve Kennett Independent Consultant

> > Dawson City, Yukon January 18, 2012

Presentation Outline

- Trade-off Decisions in Planning
- Black Box Decision Making
 "Name the Game"
- Thinking Outside the Black Box
 - Ideas for trade-off decisions
 - Structured Decision Making

Evolution of Environmental Management



Planning and the Decision-Making Hierarchy



Key Roles for Planning

- Self-determination
 - Means to identify desired future states for different parts of the landscape
 - Focus on objectives and how to achieve them
- Regulatory effectiveness, efficiency and predictability
 - Sets context for decision making at lower levels in the hierarchy

Land-use Conflicts



Selected photos courtesy of Brad Stelfox



Cumulative Effects









Planning and Sustainability: Living within Limits







The Planning and Cumulative Effects Mantra



Universe of Trade-off Decisions

Activity – type, intensity, impacts

Space

Time

Trade-off Decisions: A Black Box?

Figure 1. Planning - Developing Initial Biodiversity Targets for Trade-off Analysis



Figure 2. Planning - Building Land-use Plans and Management Frameworks

Diagrams from a draft discussion paper are for illustrative purposes only



NAME THE (BLACK BOX DECISION-MAKING) GAME*

*Any similarity with actual decision making in Yukon is unintended and purely coincidental



















DIAG. 76











Attributes of Good Trade-off Decision Making

- Systematic
 - structured approach to dealing with complex issues that ensures both analysis and deliberation
- Rigorous
 - based on the best available information and analysis, including explicit treatment of risk and uncertainty
- Transparent
 - reasons for decisions should be clear to all interested parties

Focus on interests, not positions



Roger Fisher and William Ury & for the Second Edition, Bruce Patton of the Harvard Negotiation Project

Set Direction for Planning



"He's right. We screwed up."

LARP Terms of Reference

What issues will Cabinet provide guidance on?

The Balance Between Development and Environment

Economic development is important for creating jobs and prosperity for Albertans. But development must be balanced with protection of the environment, to ensure current and future generations have clean airsheds, watersheds and landscapes and healthy ecosystems. The RAC will be given guidance about how to strike this balance in the region.

Explore Options Across Space and Time







Use Scenario Modeling to Explore Trade-offs – e.g., ALCES®



Use other Decision Support Tools for Trade-off Analysis – e.g., Marxan

Design Element	esign Element Marxan Objective			
Coarse filter				
Ecosystem representation	 a) Represent all Natural Subregions b) Represent all forest patch types² 	14.3%; 20%; 25%; 30%; 35%; 40%		
Low industrial footprint	Minimize linear feature density	On/off		
Large size	Maximize CA clumping	On/off		
Connectivity	Maximize CA clumping	On/off		
Fine filter				
Species at risk	Include caribou and grizzly range	20%; 40%; 60%; 90%		
Riparian headwaters	Include Foothills headwaters	20%; 40%; 60%; 90%		
Environmentally Significant Areas	Include ESAs on public lands	20%; 40%; 60%; 90%		
General				
Low opportunity cost	Minimize NPV of petroleum and forestry resources ³	On/off		
Regional distribution	Represent all LUF zones	Equal to ecosystem representation target		

Slide credit: Richard Schneider, IRM Lab, Dept. of Biological Sciences, U of A

Expand the Policy and Management Toolkit



Means-Ends diagram showing the linkages between policy and management options for linear disturbance and access management and Grizzly Bear habitat: Open Route Density – Alberta Environment and Water & Compass Resource Management Ltd.

Incorporate Monitoring and Adaptive Management



Check

Monitor, evaluate, report and adjust performance of actions and plans against economic, environmental, and social objectives

Provincial Vision, Desired Outcomes and Guiding Principles

- Healthy economy supported by our land and natural resources
- Healthy ecosystems and environment
- People-friendly communities with ample recreational and cultural opportunities

Continuous Improvement System supported by Building Information, Knowledge and Tools

- Science, traditional knowledge and experience
- Improved connections
- Strategic research
- Knowledge transfer
- Technology and tools
- Stewardship initiatives
- Collaboration and partnerships

Do (consistent with regional plans)

- Transitional strategy
- Immediate priorities
- Addressing policy gaps
- Timeframe for implementing
 the Land-use Framework

Plans: Provincial, Regional and Local

- Provincial leadership
- Land-use planning system
- Regional planning
- Local planning
- Appeal mechanisms





SDM 101





Presentation excerpts included with permission of Dan Ohlson, Compass Resource Management Ltd. <u>http://www.compassrm.com/</u> <u>http://structureddecisionmaking.org</u>

Dan Ohlson Compass Resource Management Ltd.

Structured Decision Making (SDM)



The formal use of common sense for decision problems that are too complex for the informal use of common sense."

Ralph Keeney

SDM



- A Process = a set of core steps
 - Flexible, scaleable and iterative
 - Facilitation informed by the behavioural sciences
- A set of structuring tools from the decision sciences
 - Objectives hierarchies
 - Influence diagrams
 - Decision trees
 - Strategy / option portfolios
 - Consequence tables
 - Structured expert judgments
 - Multi-attribute trade-off analysis
- The integration of analysis and deliberation

- Graham is thinking of buying a truck.
- He needs it primarily for hauling building materials around over the next five years, but also has kids...





- He makes a long list of all the things he should consider...
 - Cost, payload, colour, mileage, cupholders, cd player, sunroof, tire condition, #

passengers etc





• He trims them to the ones that *really matter* to him:



Objective	Evaluation Criteria	Direction	
Price	\$	less	
Fuel Economy	L / 100 km	less	
Mileage	kilometres	less	
Bed Size	feet	more	
Payload	pounds	more	
Passengers	#	more	



• Then he's off shopping...



• He decides start by doing his homework on six of them:

Objective	Attribute	Direction	Truck 1	Truck 2	Tuck3	TUCKA	TUCKS	TUCKS
Price	S	L	\$ 14,000	\$ 18,500	\$ 18,000	\$ 24,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Fuel Economy	L / 100 km	L	9	9	9	13	13	13
Mileage	kilometres	L	160,000	60,000	80,000	60,000	60,000	80,000
Bed Size	feet	Η	6.50	6.50	6.50	7.00	5.00	5.00
Payload	pounds	Η	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,800	1,400	1,400
Passengers	#	Η	4	4	4	4	5	5

 But how can he decide which one is best?

– Go to excel.....





Simple Example: Key Points

- 1 Decided first on decision scope, structure
- 2 Narrowed in on key objectives and developed criteria
- 3 Collected information (consequence table) = a technical task
- 4 Selected a preferred alternative = a value judgement (.... reasonable people may disagree)

Conclusion – Beyond the Black Box

- Where we are:
 - Integrated regional planning and a recognition of the need to make trade-off decisions
- Where we want to be:
 - Trade-off decision making in planning that is:
 - Systematic
 - Rigorous
 - Transparent