| PEEL WATERSHED APPROVED LAND USE PLAN CLASS 1 CONFORMITY CHECK | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| YESAB Project # | Q2021-0027 | | |
| Project Title: | ML Quartz Exploration | | |
| Date: | February 1, 2021 | | |
| Submitted to: | Land Planning Branch, | | |
| | Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, | Yukon Government | |
| Completed by: | Sam Skinner Yukon Land Use Planning Council 201-307 Jarvis St Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2H3 1-867-667-7397 sam@planyukon.ca | YUKON LAND USE PLANNING COUNCIL | |
| More information on PWLUP Conformity checks: | https://planyukon.ca/index.php/resources/planning watershed-conformity-checks | -regions/peel/391-peel- | |
| Project conforms to the Final Recommended Peel Watershed Regional Land Use Plan: (select one) Yes | | | |

Background Information and Conformity Check Analysis

| Affected Landscape Management Unit (LMU)(s): Map 2 and Section 5 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| LM Un | it # 10 | | LMU Name | e: Ri | chard | dson Mountair | ns - South | |
| Zoning | ig: WA | | Land Owner: YG | | | | | |
| | Landscape Disturbance Indicators: Surface Disturbance (ha): | | | | | | | |
| LMU | Cautionary Level* | Critical Level* | Current est. Level** | Project Estima | | Total Estimate | Cautionary level exceeded | Critical level exceeded |
| 10 | 182.5 | 243.4 | 0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | No | No |
| Linear [| Linear Disturbance (km): | | | | | | | |
| LMU | Cautionary Level* | Critical Level* | Current est. Level** | Project Estima | | Total Estimate | Cautionary level exceeded | Critical level exceeded |
| 10 | 182.5 | 243.4 | 0 | 4.1 | | 4.1 | No | No |

^{*} Disturbance levels were not given for WA and SMAs as their management intent implied no new disturbances. However, the Plan allowed pre-existing claims to remain, implying that on-claim disturbances could be permitted. If so, disturbance levels from IMA I are applied here. These are not approved levels.

**Current estimated disturbance levels are to be provided by the Plan Parties. As an interim measure, disturbance levels were determined from coarse LandSat-base disturbance data provided by YG. They have not been approved.

| Special Management Considerations: (Section 5, LMUs) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Special Management Consideration | | | |
| 10 • N/A | | | | |
| Affected Values and | General Management Directions (GMD): (Section 4) | | | |
| Only include values i include in this table. | identified in LMU. If no GMDs exist or are relevant, do not | | | |
| Ecological Resources: | Corresponding GMD: | | | |
| WILDLIFE and TERRESTRIAL HABITATS | Reduce size, intensity and duration of human-caused physical surface disturbances (e.g., utilize low impact seismic, winter roads and principle of full reclamation). Avoid or reduce activities in significant wildlife habitats during important biological periods (e.g., utilize timing windows). | | | |
| | Avoid sensitive sheep habitats and key areas, with emphasis on winter range avoidance (see Map 3, Appendix A for locations). Avoid concentrated woodland caribou use areas (see Map 3, Appendix A for locations) Reduce other human land use-related disturbances such as noise, odours and light. | | | |
| HYDROLOGY and AQUATIC HABITATS | Minimize surface and vegetation disturbance in riparian areas. Prohibit direct disturbance to sensitive over-wintering and spawning habitats. Minimize stream crossings; if stream crossings are required ensure proper bridge and crossing structures are used, and are designed for ease of removal (i.e., temporary structures). | | | |
| Heritage, Social, Cultural Resources: | Corresponding GMD: | | | |
| | Avoid or minimize land use conflicts by avoiding or reducing the level of land use activities in important subsistence harvesting and current community use areas. Avoid or reduce activities in significant heritage and current community use areas during important seasonal use periods (e.g.,utilize timing windows). Where impacts to identified heritage and cultural sites and resources may occur, implement the following appropriate mitigation practices. Establish work camps associated with resource exploration and development activity near areas of resource production, and away from identified heritage routes, historic sites, and current community use areas. Implement immediate stop work orders if evidence of heritage or cultural values is detected, to assess significance. | | | |

| Economic Development: | Corresponding GMD: |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Access (Recommended policies) | 13. To prevent impacts on wildlife, soil damage and land user conflicts, the use of wheeled ORVs (e.g. quads, motorbikes and Argo-like vehicles) for any purpose, should be restricted to the Hart River Trail, existing trails in areas immediately adjacent to the Dempster Highway, licensed camps, and existing facilities. 14. In areas of allowed use, ORV use should not occur in sensitive habitats. In this Plan, sensitive habitats are defined as wetlands and alpine areas, in the spring, summer and fall. 15. In the Conservation Area, outside of existing dispositions, new surface access should not be allowed. The construction of new roads and surface transportation features are incompatible with Conservation Area management objectives. 18. In the Conservation Area, outside of existing dispositions, new airstrips should not be allowed. Existing airstrips and landing locations may continue to be used, however. |

| Plan Recommended Best Management Practices: | | |
|---|---|--|
| Water | Best Management Practices for Works Affecting Water in Yukon. Water Resources Branch, Yukon Environment. May 2011. Available online: http://www.env.gov.yk.ca/publications-maps/documents/bestpractes_water.pdf This document has a number of recommendations on culverts. | |
| Wildlife and fish | | |
| Industry | Yukon Mineral and Coal Exploration Best Management Practices and Regulatory Guide. Yukon Chamber of Mines. August 2010. Available online: https://www.yukonminers.org/index.php/all-docs/guidebooks/3-yukon-mineral-and-coal-exploration-best-management-practices-and-regulatory-guide/file | |

Additional Analysis or Comments:

- This project is not consistent with the management intent of LMU 10. However, existing claims may be respected.
- Surface access outside of claims is not permitted.
- There are no disturbance levels/thresholds specified in the plan for LMUs 10. However, if one uses the levels specified for the IMA I in the SMA, this project does not come close to exceeding them, even considering rough estimated existing disturbance levels.